

NEIFELD IP LAW, P.C. 2001 Jefferson Davis Highwa

Suite 1001

Arlington, VA 22202

Tel: 703-415-0012 Fax: 703-415-0013

Email: rneifeld@Neifeld.com

Web: www.Neifeld.com

TRANSMITTAL LETTER AND AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE DEPOSIT ACCOUNT

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

RE: Attorney Docket No.: CAT/29US-SCROCO

Application Serial No.: 09/401,939

Filed: 9/23/1999

Title: System and Method for Providing Shopping Aids and Incentives to

Customers Through a Computer Network

Inventor: SCROGGIE et al. Group Art Unit: 3622

Examiner: Stephen GRAVINI

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Information Disclosure Statement (In Duplicate)

Reference 1

Our check in the amount of \$_\$180.00 is attached covering the required fees.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 50-2106. A duplicate copy of this sheet

is enclosed.

31518

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

8/14/23 Date

Richard A. Neifeld, Ph.D.

Registration No. 35,299

Attorney of Record

RECEIVED

AUG 1 9 2003

GROUP 3600

Printed: August 12, 2003 (5:08pm)

Y:\Clients\Catalina\CAT29US-SCRO\CAT29US-SCROCO\Draft\TransLtr_030812.wpd



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: SCROGGIE ET AL.

: GROUP:3622

SERIAL NUMBER: 09/401,939

: EXAMINER: Gravini

FILED:9/23/1999

TITLE: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SHOPPING AIDS AND INCENTIVES TO

CUSTOMERS THROUGH A COMPUTER NETWORK

37 CFR 1.98 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

37 CFR 1.98 (1) - List of Information List of attached items:

Cover page and page 11 of an office action dated May 12, 2003 (now expunged on other 1. grounds) in application 09/756,788 (attorney docket number CAT/29US-SCRCO3).

REMARKS

The examiner has now admitted in application 09/756,788 that at least his assertion as to the date of existence of www.performancebike.com was not true. See item 1.

No item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to the knowledge of the undersigned, having made reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR §1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this statement.

Respectfully Submitted

31518

Neifeld IP Law, PC

Suite 1001 Arlington, VA 22202

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

2001 Jefferson Davis Highway

Richard A. Neifeld

Registration No. 35,299

Email: rneifeld@Neifeld.com GROUP 360C

RECEIVED
AUG 1 9 2003

Y:\Clients\Catalina\CAT29US-SCRO\CAT29US-SCROCO\Draft\GraviniDecIDS 030812.wp d

-i-

Printed: August 14, 2003 (11:08am)

160.00 09

00000053 69401939

WORKING COM

Deference



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.

FILINGITATE

4 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

CONFIRMATION NO

09/756,788

Michael C. Scroggie

CAT/29US-SCRCO3

6599

31518

7590

05/21/2003

NEIFELD IP LAW, PC 2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3622

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

Response Due 8/21/03

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

AUG 1 9 2003

GROUP 3600

5 10×2

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

OA_CATA9US&CRCO3_ 030528 ex

Application/Control Number: 09/756,788

Art Unit: 3622

Page 11

In liedly withdraw

In faming n5.

anticipatory rejections

Ne pet 12

Page 11

Page

One of the many references which clearly anticipate the claimed invention is discussed

above as to why the invention is anticipated by the prior art.

obviousness rejections

Examiner maintains the obviousness rejection because applicants have narrowly interpreted that the claimed invention exemplified by PerformanceBike and its recent web site antedates the prior art. Performance Bike has existed since at least the mid 1980's. Examiner has been a member of team Performance (a frequent buyer club patentably similar to the claimed invention) since 1994. It was only recently that PerformanceBike has automated the sales offered through team Performance through the use of internet, web sites and e-mails. The claimed invention is considered an automated version of purchase incentive notification sales strategies that are considered old and well known. An example of an old and well known version of the claimed invention is discussed supra under the obviousness rejection, such that automation, as

claimed by the applicants, will not impart patentability under well established rulings and Office practice. Applicants' arguments are an attempt to shave the top layer of ice from a visible iceberg and advice the Titanic that the iceberg is gone. Under the broadest permissible reading of the claimed invention, the PerformanceBike iceberg is still a sizeable amount of prior art even though the applicants have shown that the last few years of the iceberg should be ignored because

20+2